

## <u>Fiscal Note – 2009 Biennium</u>

Bill #					Prohibit price gouging on essential goods or services during emergencies		
Primary Sponsor: Wanzenried, D.				As Intro	duced		
☐ Significant	Local Gov Impact	☐ Include in HB 2			Technical Concerns		
☐ Included in the Executive Budget ☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached							
FISCAL SUMMARY							
Description of Fiscal Impact:							
T		FY 2008 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2009 Difference		FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	
<b>Expenditures:</b> General Fund		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue: General Fund		\$0	\$0_		\$0_	\$0	
Net Impact-General Fund Balance		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	
FISCAL ANALYSIS  Assumptions:  1. New Section 2 of this bill allows the attorney general or a county attorney to petition a District Court to make a finding of an abnormal market disruption. The legislation requires that these petitions be ruled on within 48 hours after receipt of the petition. The Judicial Branch is unable to estimate the impact of this legislation on judicial workload or the fiscal impact. The cumulative impact of such legislation may over a period of time require additional judicial resources because the court dockets are generally full throughout the state.  2. New Section 8 provides for a penalty for violating this new legislation. The penalty provided is a misdemeanor; therefore, cases would be heard by Courts of Limited Jurisdiction. These courts are funded by local governments.							
Sponsor's In	nitials	Date	Budget L	Director	's Initials	Date	

Fiscal Note Request – As Introduced

(continued)